



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. ROC 1450
Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,546	01/23/2001	Pradeep K. Subrahmanyan	S01.12-0644	3008
75	590 08/01/2003			
	mplin & Kelly		EXAMI	MINER
900 Second Avenue South Suite 1600 International Centre			SNIEZEK, ANI	
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402-3319		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2651	
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003	A 🔾

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	plicant(s)	
* Advisory Action	09/767,546	SUBRAHMANYAN,	PRADEEP K.
Tieneer y Tieneer	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew L. Sniezek	2651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ree have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officiled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officiled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final reject IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ion. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 21 July 2003. Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	the period set forth f the appeal.	in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	•	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second of the secon	**		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NC	T place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 	(s) a)∭ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	.	
0. Other:		Andrew L. Sniezek Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2651	Li

2 ...



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the references as applied do adaptively generate an output. There is no outside intervention in the operation of forming an output. The means used in the references automatically produce the output which meets the conditions as defined by applicants provided meaning. The claims (see claim 19 for example) do not set forth to adaptively change the control parameters. .